

## U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service



OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS 425 Eve Street N.W. ULLB, 3rd Floor Washington, D.C. 20536



PUBLIC CUPY

File:

Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date:

JAN 2 9 2001

IN RE: Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

Petition:

Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Skilled Worker or Professional Pursuant to Section 203(b)(3)

of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1153(b)(3)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



identification data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

## INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider mustbe filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,

Mulrean, Acting Director inistrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The employment-based preference visa petition was initially approved by the Director, California Service Center. On the basis of new information received and on further review of the record, the director determined that the petitioner was not eligible for the benefit sought. Accordingly, the director properly served the petitioner with notice of intent to revoke the approval of the preference visa petition, and her reasons therefore, and ultimately revoked the approval of the petition on January 22, 1999. The matter is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The case will be remanded for further consideration.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. 205.2(d) indicates that revocations of approvals must be appealed within 15 days after the service of the notice of revocation. The appeal was filed on February 12, 1999, 21 days after the decision was rendered. Thus, the appeal was not timely filed.

It is noted that the director erroneously allowed the petitioner 30 days to file the appeal (33 days if by mail). The director's error does not, and cannot, supersede the regulation regarding the time allotted to appeal a revocation.

8 C.F.R. 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2) states that, if an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen as described in 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(2), the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case.

8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(2) requires that a motion to reopen state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding; and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Review of the record indicates that the appeal meets this requirement.

According to 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(ii), jurisdiction over a motion resides in the official who made the latest decision in the proceeding. Because, in this case, the disputed decision was rendered by the director, the AAO has no jurisdiction over this motion and the case must be remanded to the director for a decision pursuant to the regulations governing motions to reopen.

ORDER: The petition is remanded to the director for further action in accordance with the foregoing.